

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/530,263	Applicant(s) SEKIDO ET AL.	
	Examiner Erin Snelting	Art Unit 4151	

All Participants:

(1) Erin Snelting.

(2) Daniel Christenbury.

Date of Interview: 3 September 2008

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 7:35 am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-36

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner presented lack of unity restriction requirement and offered election by phone. Applicants elected by phone Group III, claims 16-27, without traverse.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)